Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/718,940	CHALLENER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Srirama Channavajjala</u> .	(3) Leslie A. Van Leeuwen, Regd.No. 42,196.	
(2) <u>Joseph T Van Leeuwen, Regd.No. 44,383</u> . (4)		
Date of Interview: 23 October 2006	Time: <u>11.30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-23		. *
Prior art documents discussed: US Pub.No. 2002/0158899		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
• .		
		. •
		•
Men-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Joseph T. Van Leeuwen, Reg.No. 44,383 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,8,14 and cancelling 2,6,9,13,15,19,21-23, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.